Vicki



State of Utah DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Ted Stewart
Executive Director
James W. Carter
Division Director

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax) 801-538-5319 (TDD)

May 18, 1995

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT P 074 976 201

Co-Op Mining Company Wendell Owen P.O. Box 1245 Huntington, Utah 84528

Re:

Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. C95-26-1-1, Co-Op Mining Company, Bear Canyon Mine, ACT/015/025, Folder #5, Emery County, Utah

Dear Mr. Owen:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above-referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Bill Malencik on April 13, 1995. Rule R645-401-600 et. sec. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent, within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the Notice of Violation, has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

- 1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within 30 days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.
- 2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within 30 days of receipt



Page 2 C95-26-1-1 ACT/015/025 May 18, 1995

of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Aelfrich Assessment Officer

blb

Enclosure

cc: Donna Griffin, OSM

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining/Bear Canyon

CO #C95-26-1-1

PERMIT #_ACT/015/025

VIOLATION _1_ OF _1

ASSESSMENT DATE 5/16/95

ASSESSMENT OFFICER <u>Joseph C. Helfrich</u>

I. <u>HISTORY MAX 25 PTS</u>

A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE <u>5/16/95</u>

EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO DATE <u>5/16/94</u>

PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS

EFFECTIVE DATE

POINTS

1 point for each past violation, up to one year; 5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year; No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS __0_

II. <u>SERIOUSNESS</u> (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A)

or Hindrance (B)

violation? _A_

A. <u>Event Violations Max 45 PTS</u>

- 1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?

 <u>Activity outside approved permit area, Conducting activities without approvals, Environmental harm by statute and use of coal waste as road fill.</u>
- 2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent? <u>occurred</u>

[[].

NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS

PROBABILITY None Unlikely Likely Occurred	RANGE 0 1-9 10-19 20 Y OF OCCURRENCE POINTS20		
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS See attached inspection report for additional details.			
What is the extent of actual or pote	ential damage? RANGE 0 - 25*		
*In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.			
ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS			
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS The damage would extend off of the disturbed area as well as the operators permit area. Coal refuse material was deposited on a private road that was not under the legal control of the Bear Canyon Mine. The patented landowner of record were coal waste was stored is owned by John Brown, Mr. Brown also owns fee land where the rail Co train load is located.			
B. <u>Hindrance Violations</u> MAX 25 PTS			
1. Is this a potential or actual hindrand	ce to enforcement? RANGE 0 - 25		
Assign points based on the extent potentially hindered by the violation	to which enforcement is actually or		
	ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS		
PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B) 40			

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? **IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE**;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

. . . No Negligence 0
. . . . Negligence 1-15
. . . . Greater Degree of Fault 16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater Degree of fault.

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS __25_

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

See also attached inspection report. The violation occurred as a result of reckless activities by the operator the permittee should have been aware any coal waste that was loaded and or transported offsite and stored outside the permit area. This agency was advised by Co-Op Mining co that the marketing personnel took the action on coal waste without the knowledge of other Co-Op employees. The violation also occurred as a result of the operator not complying with a specific permit condition that is proper storage of non-coal waste as described in Co-Op Mining's approved mining and reclamation plan.

IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)

A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

.... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

.... Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

.... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

.... Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*

.... (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

. . . Normal Compliance 0

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

- * Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement occurring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.
- B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance OR does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- ... Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
- (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
- . . . (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- Extended Compliance

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete)

(Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? ____ ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS ____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS To be evaluated on termination of violation.

٧. **ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FOR**

1.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
Ħ.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	40
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	25
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	-0

TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS 65

TOTAL ASSESSED FINE

\$ 1800.00